By: Representative Smith (35th)

To: Judiciary A

## HOUSE BILL NO. 94

AN ACT TO AMEND SECTION 25-43-6, MISSISSIPPI CODE OF 1972, TO REQUIRE THAT DEPARTMENTS AND DIVISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND THOSE BOARDS AFFILIATED WITH A STATE AGENCY WITHIN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH SHALL CONSIDER THE IMPACT ON FAMILY FORMATION, STABILITY AND AUTONOMY BY ANY POLICIES OR REGULATIONS THEY PROPOSE FOR ADOPTION UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT; AND FOR RELATED PURPOSES.

8 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI: 9 SECTION 1. Section 25-43-6, Mississippi Code of 1972, is 10 amended as follows:

25-43-6. (1) Prior to giving the notice required in Section 11 25-43-7, each agency proposing the adoption of a rule or 12 significant amendment of an existing rule imposing a duty, 13 14 responsibility or requirement on any person shall consider the 15 economic impact the rule will have on the citizens of our state and the benefits the rule will cause to accrue to those citizens. 16 For purposes of this section, a "significant amendment" means any 17 amendment to a rule for which the total aggregate cost to all 18 persons required to comply with that rule exceeds One Hundred 19 20 Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00).

(2) Each agency shall prepare a written report providing an
economic impact statement for the adoption of a rule or
significant amendment to an existing rule imposing a duty,
responsibility or requirement on any person, except as provided in
subsection (5) of this section. The economic impact statement
shall include the following:
(a) A description of the need for and the benefits

28 which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action;

H. B. No. 94 99\HR40\R340 PAGE 1 (b) An estimate of the cost to the agency, and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues; (c) An estimate of the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action;

35 (d) An analysis of the impact of the rule on small 36 business;

37 (e) A comparison of the costs and benefits of the
38 proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting
39 the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule;

40 (f) A determination of whether less costly methods or 41 less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the 42 proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are 43 not precluded by law;

44 (g) A description of reasonable alternative methods,
45 where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action
46 which were considered by the agency and a statement of reasons for
47 rejecting those alternatives in favor of the proposed rule; and

48 (h) A detailed statement of the data and methodology49 used in making estimates required by this subsection.

50 (3) No rule or regulation shall be declared invalid based on 51 a challenge to the economic impact statement for the rule unless 52 the issue is raised in administrative proceedings before the agency. No person shall have standing to challenge a rule, based 53 54 upon the economic impact statement or lack thereof, unless that person provided the agency with information sufficient to make the 55 56 agency aware of specific concerns regarding the statement in a public meeting or hearing held by the agency or in written 57 comments regarding the rule. The grounds for invalidation of an 58 59 agency action, based upon the economic impact statement, are limited to the agency's failure to adhere to the procedure for 60 preparation of the economic impact statement as provided in this 61

H. B. No. 94 99\HR40\R340 PAGE 2 62 section, or the agency's failure to consider information submitted 63 to the agency regarding specific concerns about the statement, if 64 that failure substantially impairs the fairness of the rule-making 65 proceeding.

In the formulation and implementation of policies and 66 (4) regulations, each department and division of the executive branch 67 and those boards affiliated with a state agency within the 68 executive branch shall consider the impact of such policies and 69 regulations on family formation, stability and autonomy in light 70 71 of the following questions: 72 (a) Does this action by government strengthen or erode 73 the stability of the family and, particularly, the marital 74 <u>commitment?</u> 75 (b) Does this action strengthen or erode the authority 76 and rights of parents in the education, nurture and supervision of 77 their children? 78 (c) Does this action help the family perform its 79 functions or does it substitute governmental activity for the 80 function? 81 (d) Does this action by government increase or decrease 82 family earnings? Do the proposed benefits of this action justify 83 the impact on the family budget? 84 (e) Can this activity be carried out by a lower level of government or by the family itself? 85 86 (f) What message, intended or otherwise, does this 87 program send to the public concerning the status of the family? (g) What message does it send to young people 88 concerning the relationship between their behavior, their personal 89 90 responsibility and the norms of our society? This subsection shall not be construed to confer a right or 91 benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law by any 92 93 party against the state, its agencies, officers or any other 94 person.

H. B. No. 94 99\HR40\R340 PAGE 3 95 (5) This section does not apply to the adoption or 96 significant amendment of:

97 (a) Any rule which is required by the federal
98 government pursuant to a state/federal program delegation
99 agreement or contract;

(b) Any rule which is expressly required by state law;
(c) An emergency rule adopted pursuant to Section
25-43-7(2); and

103 (d) Any rule for which the notice required in Section
104 25-43-7 has been given prior to the effective date of this act.
105 SECTION 2. This act shall take effect and be in force from
106 and after July 1, 1999.